1 2 3	JENNIFER SALZMAN ROMANO (SBN 19 jromano@crowell.com ANDREW HOLMER (SBN 268864) aholmer@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP	95953)
4	515 South Flower Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
5	Telephone: 213.622.4750 Facsimile: 213.622.2690	
6	APRIL N. ROSS (admitted pro hac vice)	
7	aross@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP	
8 9	1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595 Telephone: 202.624.2500 Facsimile: 202.628.5116	
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH	
12	(Additional Counsel on Signature Page)	
13	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION
15	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
16	DAVID AND NATASHA WIT, et al.,	Case No. 3:14-CV-02346-JCS Related Case No. 3:14-CV-05337-JCS
17	Plaintiffs,	
18 19	v. UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING UBH'S MOTION TO STAY (ECF NO. 631)
20	Defendant.	10 STAT (ECF NO. 031)
21	GARY ALEXANDER, et al.,	
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	v.	
24	UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27 28		
20		JOINT STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE UBH'S MOTION TO STAY CASE NOS. 3:14-CV-02346-JCS, 3:14-CV-05337-JCS

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2 and 7-12, the Parties in the above-captioned
2	action, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:
3	WHEREAS, on December 18, 2023, this Court issued its Order re Scope of Remand in
4	which the Court ruled on certain issues pertaining to the scope of proceedings remanded by the
5	Ninth Circuit and directed the Parties to meet and confer regarding a "schedule for the remainder
6	of the case" (ECF No. 625, at 49);
7	WHEREAS, in directing the Parties to meet and confer about a case schedule, the Court
8	"envision[ed] that the first stage of the proceedings on remand will be focused on class
9	certification, to be followed by motion practice relating to the issues of exhaustion and other
10	clarifications consistent with the mandate and then motion practice relating to remedies" (id.);
11	WHEREAS, on January 9, 2024, the Parties filed a Joint Notice Regarding Case
12	Management Schedule ("Joint Notice") in which the Parties set out their respective positions on
13	schedule for further proceedings in this Court (ECF No. 629);
14	WHEREAS, in the Joint Notice, Plaintiffs proposed a case schedule that, among other
15	things, began with briefing on Plaintiffs' proposed Motion to Recertify Denial of Benefits Claim
16	and Certify Reprocessing Subclasses (id. at 4);
17	WHEREAS, in the Joint Notice, among other things, UBH stated its position that UBH
18	opposed Plaintiffs' proposed schedule; UBH informed the Court that UBH intended to file a
19	petition for writ of mandamus from the Court's Order re Scope of Remand; and UBH requested
20	that this Court stay further proceedings pending the resolution of UBH's then-forthcoming
21	petition for writ of mandamus (id., at 5-7);
22	WHEREAS, on January 11, 2024, the Court entered an Order Setting Briefing Schedule
23	on UBH's Request to Stay (ECF No. 630);
24	WHEREAS, the Court directed UBH to file a motion to stay no later than January 16,
25	2024, directed Plaintiffs to file their opposition no later than January 23, 2024, and directed UBF
26	to file its reply on January 26, 2024 (id.);
27	WHEREAS, on January 12, 2024, UBH filed a petition for writ of mandamus from the
28	Court's Order re Scope of Remand in the proceeding United Behavioral Health v. United States
	1

1	District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco, Ninth Cir. No. 24-00242
2	(the "Petition");
3	WHEREAS, in UBH's Petition, UBH has requested that the Ninth Circuit issue a writ of
4	mandamus directing this Court to: (1) enter judgment for UBH on Plaintiffs' denial of benefits
5	claim; (2) promptly notify former class members that the denial of benefits classes have been
6	decertified; (3) conduct no further proceedings on Plaintiffs' denial of benefits claims, including
7	but not limited to further class certification proceedings on that claim or Plaintiffs' request for
8	claim reprocessing; and (4) limit further proceedings in this Court solely to Plaintiffs' fiduciary
9	duty claim with respect to the narrow issues on that claim that UBH contends the Ninth Circuit
10	identified in the mandate on UBH's prior Ninth Circuit appeal (see Ninth Cir. No. 24-00242, ECF
11	No. 1);
12	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs oppose the Petition;
13	WHEREAS, the Ninth Circuit has not yet acted on UBH's Petition;
14	WHEREAS, UBH filed its motion to stay on January 16, 2024 (ECF No. 631);
15	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to UBH's motion to stay on January 23, 2024
16	(ECF No. 632);
17	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs stated in their opposition that, while Plaintiffs oppose the stay
18	requested by UBH, Plaintiffs "would not object to entry of a schedule that prioritizes proceedings
19	on the breach of fiduciary duty claims, which are separate and distinct from the denial of benefits
20	claims." ECF No. 632, at 9.
21	WHEREAS, in light of Plaintiffs' statement in their opposition brief, the Parties have
22	begun to meet and confer to explore whether the Parties can stipulate to the entry of a case
23	schedule that prioritizes proceedings on the breach of fiduciary duty claims, and which stays or
24	defers further proceedings and briefing on issues relating to class certification, Plaintiffs' denial
25	of benefits claim, and Plaintiffs' request for claim reprocessing, which are the subject of UBH's
26	Petition;
27	WHEREAS, the Parties require additional time to meet and confer to determine whether a
28	stipulation can be reached that will avoid the need for the Court to rule on UBH's pending motion

1	to stay, and request additional time to do so;	
2	WHEREAS, to allow the parties sufficient time to meet and confer, the parties agree that	
3	UBH's deadline to file its reply in support of its motion to stay should be continued and the Cour	
4	should defer ruling on UBH's pending motion to stay as set forth below;	
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that, subject to the approval of the	
6	Court:	
7	1. The Parties shall have until January 31, 2024 to submit a stipulation and proposed	
8	order regarding the entry of a case schedule;	
9	2. In the event that the parties are unable to reach a stipulation, UBH shall file its	
10	reply brief in support of its motion to stay no later than February 1, 2024;	
11	3. The Court will defer decision on UBH's motion to stay (ECF No. 631) pending	
12	either the submission of a stipulation on January 31, 2024 or the filing of UBH's	
13	reply brief on February 1, 2024.	
14		
15	Respectfully Submitted:	
16	Dated: January 26, 2024 ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP	
17	/s/ Caroline E. Reynolds	
18	Caroline E. Reynolds D. Brian Hufford	
19	Jason S. Cowart	
20	PSYCH-APPEAL, INC. Meiram Bendat	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
22	Dated: January 26, 2024 CROWELL & MORING LLP	
23	/s/ Jennifer S. Romano	
24	Jennifer S. Romano	
25	April N. Ross Andrew Holmer	
26	Attorneys for Defendant United Behavioral Health	
27		
28	VOD VE OTVE. AND EDD ODGOVER.	

1	ATTESTATION		
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the		
3	filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.		
4			
5	Dated: January 26, 2024 /s/ Jennifer S. Romano Jennifer S. Romano		
6			
7			
8			
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Detect. January 26, 2024		
11	Dated: January 20, 2024		
12	Vonorable Joseph C. Spero United States Magistrate Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	IGINE STIP, AND IRRODOSEDI		